ESP/PSRP ORIENTATION ON
ESP/PSRP PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCESS

(Rev. 08.2019)
Orientation Checklist

✓ This Orientation Power-Point (e-mail or distribute)

✓ The ESP/PSRP Evaluation Guidebook (e-mail or distribute)

✓ The Evaluation form, focusing on the rating factors, the rating scale, and the rubric (distribute attached here and in the Guidebook)

✓ The appropriate critical attributes sheet, including any clarifications for their specific assignment (See Appendix A, download from Knowledge Center)

✓ The self-assessment opportunity and mid-year feedback process (discuss)

✓ Weights of evaluation factors, calculating the summative score, and cut scores (discuss)
The Purpose: Align every CPS employee behind CPS vision of student success

The ESP/PSRP evaluation is designed to do the following:

- **Value and Develop** employees through specific, timely, and relevant feedback;
- **Transparently Establish** performance expectations and an evaluation process;
- **Set Aspirational & Inspirational Goals** for employee performance;
- **Recognize Excellence** when employees exceed the standard; and
- **Create Personal Accountability** for each employee to contribute to our shared mission of preparing every CPS student for success in college, career, and life.

Evaluations will help ensure that we are the place where the best talent comes to work
Evaluation Process: Four Yearly Opportunities for Performance-Related Interactions

First 30 Days
- Start-of-Year Orientation
  - Required for All Staff
  - By Group
  - Rating Scale, Rubric, and Job Expectations

Nov 1 - 30
- Self Assessment
  - Optional.
  - If employee completes:
    - Meeting if on track for less-than-proficient
    - Written feedback for all others

Dec 1 – Feb 15
- Mid-Year Feedback
  - Best Practice: All Employees
  - Required for Less-than-proficient staff
  - Individual, Written, & Face-to-Face
  - Feedback & Strategies for Improvement
  - Development Plans

May 1- Jun 30
- Annual Summative
  - Required for All Staff
  - Individual, Written, and Face-to-Face
  - Complete Checklist for Less-than-proficient

Employees who do not receive mid-year evaluation may not be rated Unsatisfactory at the end of the year
Development Plans

Evaluators must provide employees with suggested strategies/development activities for improving performance at the mid-term evaluation and at the final summative evaluation. Employees who are less-than-proficient should receive assistance in creating a development plan.

**Behavioral (e.g. punctuality)** – give feedback and re-state or clarify the expectation and standard of performance.

**Skill-Based** – take an active role in working with the employee to draft a development strategy.

- mentoring/coaching, training, online resources, etc.
Development Plans (2)

Your supervisors may use the **ESP/PSRP Developing or Unsatisfactory – Checklist** (Appendix D) or offer other supports to improve your performance.

Evaluators should compile all corresponding documentation and rating documents.

- Print a copy of all emails related to performance and the evaluation process.
- Make sure all documents are signed and dated.
- Make sure the employee received an opportunity to meet and discuss the ratings at each step.
### Evaluation Tool: 4 Performance Levels & 3 Evaluation Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unsatisfactory (1)</th>
<th>Developing (2)</th>
<th>Proficient (3)</th>
<th>Excellent (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effectiveness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of total score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% of total score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professionalism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% of total score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplemented by job-specific “critical attributes”
Effectiveness Determines Half of an Employee’s Overall Evaluation

Effectiveness Focused

\[
\text{Evaluation Score} = (\text{Effectiveness}) \times 50\% + (\text{Dependability}) \times 30\% + (\text{Professionalism}) \times 20\% 
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Score</th>
<th>Evaluation Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5 – 4.0</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 – 3.4</td>
<td>Proficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 – 2.6</td>
<td>Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 – 1.9</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluators: Employees’ Evaluator Depends on Job Classification

- **Principals/ Assistant Principals**
  - Teacher Assistants
  - Instructor Assistants
  - SECAs
  - School Clerks
  - Security

- **Aramark/Sodexo Custodial Supervisors**
  - Custodians

- **Transportation**
  - Bus Aides
  - Parent Worker – Bus Monitors

- **Aramark Lunchroom Supervisors**
  - Lunchroom Managers
  - Lunchroom Staff

School leaders will have the opportunity for input into every evaluation.
Consequences of Developing or Unsatisfactory Evaluation Ratings

- **Mid-year evaluation** – ESP/PSRP who are evaluated less than proficient at mid-year will be afforded the opportunity to improve their performance to proficiency by the end of the year. Employees with these mid-year ratings may be evaluated as unsatisfactory in the summative end of year evaluation.

- **Annual Summative Evaluation** – ESP/PSRP who are evaluated as unsatisfactory at the end of the year will be *subject to dismissal*. ESP/PSRP who are evaluated as developing will be given an opportunity to improve to proficiency during the next schoolyear.
Major Take-Aways on Evaluation Process

1. Orientation: First 30 days
2. Manage & Observe
3. Employee Self-Assessment: November 1\textsuperscript{st} – 30\textsuperscript{th}
   • Same Evaluation Details
4. Evaluator Mid-Year Feedback: December 1\textsuperscript{st} to February 15\textsuperscript{th}
   • \textbf{Best Practice: All Employees}
   • Required meeting & written for Less-Than-Proficient
   • Written for Proficient-or-Better Self-Assessments
   • Same Evaluation Form
5. Manage & Observe
6. Final Summative Rating: May 1\textsuperscript{st}
   to June 30\textsuperscript{th}
   • Draft Rating
   • Discuss with Employee
   • Final Edits, If Any
   • Employee Sign-Off
   • Employee may not receive unsatisfactory final rating if no mid-year rating conducted.
   • Employees rated unsatisfactory are subject to dismissal
Appendix A: Critical Attributes
Critical Attributes Available on Knowledge Center

- Associate Lunchroom Manager II
- Associate Lunchroom Manager III
- Bilingual Special Education Classroom Assistant
- Bilingual Special Education Classroom Assistant II
- Bus Aide Critical Attributes
- Climate Team Officer Critical Attributes
- Community Relations Representative I
- Computer Technician
- Cook I
- Cook II
- Cook III
- Cook Critical Attributes
- Custodial Worker
- Custodian Critical Attributes
- Educational Sign Language Interpreter
- Educational Sign Language Interpreter II
- Educational Sign Language Interpreter III
- Elementary Lunchroom Manager
- Engineer II
- Engineer IV
- Engineer V
- Factor Custodian Critical Attributes
- Guidance Counselor Aide
- Guidance Counselor Aide Critical Attributes
- Head Start Education Resources Assistant
- Head Start Health Resources Assistant
- Head Start Parent Resources Assistant
- Head Start Social Service Resources Assistant
- Instructor Assistant
- Instructor Assistant II
- Interpreter Assistant
- Interpreter Clerk
- Lunchroom Attendant
- Lunchroom Manager
- Lunchroom Manager Critical Attributes
- Lunchroom Staff Critical Attributes
- Parent Advocate
- Parent Advocate - Bilingual
- Porter
- School Bus Aide
- School Business Manager
- School Clerk I
- School Clerk I (Bilingual-Spanish)
- School Clerk Assistant
- School Clerks Critical Attributes
- School Community Representative
- School Library Assistant
- School Library Assistant II
- School Operations Manager
- School Security Officer
- Security Officer Critical Attributes
- Senior School Security Officer
- Special Education Classroom Assistant II
- Special Education Classroom Assistant
- Special Education Classroom Assistant Critical Attributes
- Substitute Bus Aide
- Substitute School Clerk
- Teacher Assistant-Bilingual Spanish I
- Teacher Assistant-Bilingual Spanish II
- Teacher Assistant-Bilingual I
- Teacher Assistant-Bilingual II
- Teacher Assistant-Montessori Program
- Teacher Assistant-Montessori Program II
- Teacher Assistant
- Teacher Assistant II
- Teachers - Instructors Assistant Critical Attributes
- Technology Coordinator I
- Technology Coordinator II
- Technology Coordinator III
- Technology Coordinator Critical Attributes
Appendix B: Evaluation Rubric
## Effectiveness:
### Fulfilling the duties required of the job

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Employee does not have skill level required for the work and requires intensive training to attain those skills. OR</td>
<td>• Employee skill level is not quite that required for the work but with time and applied effort employee may attain those skills. OR</td>
<td>• Employee skill level meets that required for the work and that skill is consistently employed in completing assigned tasks. AND</td>
<td>• Employee skill level exceeds that required for the work and that skill is uniformly employed in completing assigned tasks; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Employee has skills to do the job but consistently does not apply that skill to assigned tasks. OR</td>
<td>• Employee has skills to do the job but that skill is not consistently applied to assigned tasks. OR</td>
<td>• Quality of work consistently meets expectations. AND</td>
<td>• Quality of work uniformly exceeds expectations; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Quality of work usually does not meet expectations. OR</td>
<td>• Quality of work only sometimes meets expectations. OR</td>
<td>• Employee consistently completes assigned responsibilities when they are due.</td>
<td>• Employee uniformly completes assigned responsibilities when or before they are due.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Employee regularly does not complete assigned responsibilities when they are due.</td>
<td>• Employee does not regularly complete assigned responsibilities when they are due.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Dependability:
Reliably on task and on post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Requires significant and regular supervision in order to perform assigned tasks; and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regularly not in place, on time, or prepared to work; and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Frequently late or absent*, and rarely takes appropriate steps to notify supervisors and ensure adequate coverage; and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of a pattern of abusive (Fridays, Mondays, holidays, etc.) or irresponsible (on days of special events, due dates etc.) tardiness or absence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Developing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Requires regular supervision in order to complete assigned tasks; and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Requires regular supervision and coaching to ensure employee is at assigned location, on time and ready; and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Occasionally late or absent*; inconsistently making appropriate notifications and coordination of work duties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Proficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Consistently completes assigned tasks with moderate supervision; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consistently at assigned work location, during the assigned time period, prepared to complete duties; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rarely late or absent*, consistently making appropriate notifications and coordination of work duties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Exceptional at completing assigned tasks with minimal supervision; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exceptional in being at assigned work location, during the assigned time period, prepared to complete duties; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exceptionally punctual and present*, always making appropriate notifications and coordination of work duties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Professionalism: Maintaining a service-oriented approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Regularly lacks tact, courtesy, and a service-orientation in dealings with colleagues, students, and community members; and/or</td>
<td>• Inconsistently service-oriented, courteous, or supportive in disposition to all colleagues, students, and community members; and/or</td>
<td>• Consistently service-oriented, courteous, and supportive, in disposition to all colleagues, students, and community members; and</td>
<td>• Exceptionally service-oriented, courteous, and supportive in disposition to all colleagues, students, and community members; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Often lacks self control with colleagues and supervisors and regularly resistant to suggestions for improvement; and/or</td>
<td>• Inconsistently demonstrates self control with colleagues and supervisors and sometimes resistant to suggestions for improvement; and/or</td>
<td>• Consistently demonstrates self control with colleagues and supervisor and accepts and implements suggestions for improvement; and</td>
<td>• Demonstrates exceptional self control with colleagues and supervisors and in accepting &amp; implementing suggestions for improvement; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rarely communicates and coordinates with co-workers in a tactful and effective manner, requiring consistent supervision; and/or</td>
<td>• Inconsistently communicates and coordinates with co-workers in a tactful and effective manner, requiring regular supervision; and/or</td>
<td>• Consistently communicates and coordinates with co-workers, in a tactful and effective manner, with moderate supervision; and</td>
<td>• Exceptional in communicating and coordinating with co-workers, in a tactful and effective manner, with minimal supervision; AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Indifference to Board and School policies.</td>
<td>• Inconsistent compliance with Board and School policies.</td>
<td>• Consistent compliance with Board and School policies.</td>
<td>• Exceptional compliance with Board and School policies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Evaluation Form
**Education Support (ESP) and Professional and School Related (PSRP) Personnel Employee Evaluation Form**

**Talent Office • 42 W Madison, Garden • Chicago, Illinois 60602**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee:</th>
<th>Review Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position:</td>
<td>School/Department:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Instructions:** Review the Employee's work using the following scale by checking the appropriate box for each standard of performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 – Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>2 – Basic</th>
<th>3 – Proficient</th>
<th>4 – Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance is consistently well below requirements and expectations</td>
<td>Performance marginal, satisfies only the minimum requirements of the job and needs significant improvement</td>
<td>Performance acceptable to meet the standards established for the job</td>
<td>Performance far superior to the satisfactory standards and expectations established for the job.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effectiveness:** Fulfilling the duties required of the job and making a productive contribution to the success of our schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee does not have skill level required for the work and requires intensive training to attain those skills; and/or</td>
<td>Employee skill level is not quite that required for the work but with time and applied effort employee may attain those skills; and/or</td>
<td>Employee skill level meets that required for the work and that skill is consistently employed in completing assigned tasks; and</td>
<td>Employee skill level exceeds that required for the work and that skill is uniformly employed in completing assigned tasks; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee has skills to do the job but consistently does not apply that skill to assigned tasks; and/or</td>
<td>Employee has skills to do the job but that skill is not consistently applied to assigned tasks; and/or</td>
<td>Quality of work consistently meets expectations; and</td>
<td>Quality of work uniformly exceeds expectations; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of work usually does not meet expectations; and/or</td>
<td>Quality of work only sometimes meets expectations; and/or</td>
<td>Employee consistently completes assigned responsibilities when they are due.</td>
<td>Employee uniformly completes assigned responsibilities when or before they are due.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee regularly does not complete assigned responsibilities when they are due.</td>
<td>Employee does not regularly complete assigned responsibilities when they are due.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence:** Describe evidence – provide examples – to justify the relevant rating

- 
- 
- 
-
### Dependability:
Reliably on task and on post, completing a satisfactory job, without continual instruction and supervision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requires significant and regular supervision in order to perform assigned tasks; and/or</td>
<td>Requires regular supervision in order to complete assigned tasks; and/or</td>
<td>Consistently completes assigned tasks with moderate supervision; and</td>
<td>Exceptional at completing assigned tasks with minimal supervision; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularly not in place, on time, or prepared to work; and/or</td>
<td>Requires regular supervision and coaching to ensure employee is at assigned location, on time and ready; and/or</td>
<td>Consistently at assigned work location, during the assigned time period, prepared to complete duties; and</td>
<td>Exceptional in being at assigned work location, during the assigned time period, prepared to complete duties; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequently late or absent*, and rarely takes appropriate steps to notify supervisors and ensure adequate coverage; and/or</td>
<td>Occasionally late or absent*; inconsistently making appropriate notifications and coordination of work duties.</td>
<td>Rarely late or absent*, consistently making appropriate notifications and coordination of work duties.</td>
<td>Exceptionally punctual and present*, always making appropriate notifications and coordination of work duties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of a pattern of abusive (Fridays, Mondays, holidays, etc.) or irresponsible (on days of special events, due dates etc.) tardiness or absence.</td>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
<td>*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, sick days, or vacation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*does not include approved benefit time such as FMLA, STD, worker’s comp, personal business days, sick days, or vacation.

### Evidence:
Describe evidence – provide examples – to justify the relevant rating

- 
- 
- 
-
### Professionalism: Maintaining a service-oriented approach to the work that treats all colleagues, students, and community with respect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Regularly lacks tact, courtesy, and a service-orientation in dealings with colleagues, students, and community members; and/or</td>
<td>- Inconsistently service-oriented, courteous, or supportive in disposition to all colleagues, students, and community members; and/or</td>
<td>- Consistently service-oriented, courteous, and supportive, in disposition to all colleagues, students, and community members; and</td>
<td>- Exceptionally service-oriented, courteous, and supportive, in disposition to all colleagues, students, and community members; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Often lacks self-control with colleagues and supervisors and regularly resistant to suggestions for improvement; and/or</td>
<td>- Inconsistently demonstrates self-control with colleagues and supervisors and sometimes resistant to suggestions for improvement; and/or</td>
<td>- Consistently demonstrates self-control with colleagues and supervisor and accepts and implements suggestions for improvement; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Rarely communicates and coordinates with co-workers in a tactful and effective manner, requiring consistent supervision; and/or</td>
<td>- Inconsistently communicates and coordinates with co-workers in a tactful and effective manner, requiring regular supervision; and/or</td>
<td>- Consistently communicates and coordinates with co-workers, in a tactful and effective manner, with moderate supervision; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Indifference to Board and School policies.</td>
<td>- Inconsistent compliance with Board and School policies.</td>
<td>- Consistent compliance with Board and School policies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence:** Describe evidence – provide examples – to justify the relevant rating

- 
- 
- 
-
### Areas of Strength (please list at least three)

1. 
2. 
3. 

### Goals and Strategies for Next Year (please list at least two)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Strategies for Meeting Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2.                        |                            |

### Final Summative Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. Raw Score</th>
<th>B. Weight</th>
<th>Weighted Score (A x B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%(.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependability</td>
<td></td>
<td>30%(.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td></td>
<td>20%(.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Final Score (sum of weighted scores)**

**Final Overall Rating**

---

**Reviewer Signature**

**Employee Acknowledgement**
I have reviewed this document and discussed the contents with my manager. My signature means that I have been advised of my performance status and does not indicate that I agree with the evaluation.

---

**Employee Signature**
Appendix D: Checklist
ESPs/PSRPS rated Developing or Unsatisfactory - Checklist
(Please note that this checklist is only required for employees rated developing or unsatisfactory. Please print out, complete, and include with employee’s evaluation file when you upload the documents in PeopleSoft.)

- Employee received orientation on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY).
- Employee received a mid-year evaluation on _____________ (MM/DD/YYYY).
- Employee received an opportunity to meet with administration/management to discuss how the employee can improve.
  - Meet was held on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY).
  - If no meeting, employee received opportunity to meet _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY) (print e-mail where you informed employee of opportunity to meet and keep a copy of the e-mail with employee’s rating documents).
- Employee was provided with support and resources to improve.
  - Employee duties and/or expectations were restated or clarified with employee.
  - A mentor was suggested to employee.
  - It was recommended that employee observe other employees in same job title.
  - Other employees familiar with employee’s work were asked to provide coaching.
  - Employee was provided with professional development opportunities.
    - Employee was directed to web-based resources for training webinars.
    - Employee was directed to attend training with a private or other government organization and was given time to do so.
  - Employee was directed to attend training at Central Office and was given time to do so.
- A follow-up e-mail was sent to employee on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY) summarizing the supports discussed (print e-mail and keep a copy with employee’s rating documents).
- A draft final written rating with feedback was provided to the employee on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY).
- Employee received an opportunity to meet with administration/management to discuss the draft final rating.
  - Meet was held on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY).
  - If no meeting, employee received opportunity to meet on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY) (print e-mail where you informed employee of opportunity to meet and keep a copy of the e-mail with employee’s rating documents).
  - Employee received final written rating with feedback on _______________ (MM/DD/YYYY).

Confidential This document is related to the analysis and formulation of district policies and actions, including personnel rules and practices. Distribution is not authorized without the express written consent of the Chief Executive Officer or her designee.